



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,695	09/18/2008	Hans Beer	2003P01721WOUS	3476

46726 7590 03/15/2012
BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
100 BOSCH BOULEVARD
NEW BERN, NC 28562

EXAMINER

GOLIGHTLY, ERIC WAYNE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1714

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

03/15/2012

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

NBN-IntelProp@bshg.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/583,695	Applicant(s) BEER ET AL.	
	Examiner ERIC GOLIGHTLY	Art Unit 1714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ERIC GOLIGHTLY. (3) ____.

(2) John Dresch. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 06 March 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☒ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 26-28 and 39.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Proposed an examiner's amendment wherein claims 26-28 and 39 would be cancelled. Applicants took the proposed amendment into consideration, but agreement has not been reached with respect to the proposed examiner's amendment.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/E. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 1714	/Michael Kornakov/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1714
------------------------------------	--